|	22.8K	5.42K	20.1K	288
	○ All Engagement	○ User Contributions	○ Minutes Open	○ Users

■ All Engagement ■ User Contributions ■ Minutes Open ■ Users

All Engagement - The total number of actions across all users for this event, including engagement with Sessions, Speakers, Exhibitors, Attendees, Social Networks, and more.

User Contributions - The total number of social shares, attendee messages, and more across this event.

Minutes Open - The total number of minutes all attendees have spent using the app.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

17.1K	**11.7K**	**5.41K**	**288**
○ Session Engagement	○ Session Views	○ Session Attendance	○ Users

■ Session Engagement ■ Session Views ■ Session Attendance ■ Users

Session Engagement - The total number of actions related to sessions across all users for this event. Actions include adding a session to a personal schedule, viewing a session, sharing to social, taking notes, taking a poll or survey, and more.

Session Views - Total number of Session page views.

Session Attendance - The total number of attendee interactions with a session, including check-ins, taking polls or surveys, viewing PDFs, and any shares from the session.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

Top Sessions By Engagement

| Engagement	Session
3,471	Plenary – A Day in the Life of the Clerk's Office
2,957	Plenary – The Commissioner's Office: Behind the Scenes
2,674	Plenary - Shaping the Law: Tools of Advocacy and Decisi...
1,641	Plenary - Tech 2016: Gadgets, Widgets and Apps for App...
706	Shaping the Law Break out Sessions
506	Law Practice Breakout Sessions – Sessions are offered t...
422	Law Practice Breakout Sessions
304	Welcome
299	Reception and Dinner
294	Plenary - The Michigan Supreme Court's view on Judging...
255	DeWitt C. Holbrook Memorial Fund Luncheon
201	Registration / Grand Reception
190	Child Welfare Appeals 101: The Procedure.
165	Writing to Win – From Basics to Brilliance
159	Writing to Win – From Basics to Brilliance
137	Civil Appeals: The Basics and Beyond
137	Effective Oral Advocacy: From Preparation to Presentation
134	The Importance of Staying in Motion: Effective Motion Pr...
127	What You Can Do To Make Your Michigan Supreme Cour...
127	Child Welfare Appeals 101: The Substance

Top PDFs by Engagement

Engagement	PDF
168	Shaping_the_Law.pdf
138	Tech_Plenary_Materials.pdf
79	Appeals_To_The_Michigan_Court_of_Appeals.pdf
62	Court_of_Appeals_Case_Flow_Chart.pdf
49	MSC_etiquette_and_conduct.pdf
47	flowchart.pdf
46	A_Bibliography_for_Michigan_Appellate_Advocates.pdf
45	Emergency_appeals_in_the_Michigan_Court_of_Appeals....
40	Writing_to_Win_.pdf
35	MSC_Guide_for_Counsel.pdf
34	Child_Welfare_Binder.pdf
33	QuickTips.pdf
31	MSC_Case_Processing.pdf
31	Child_Welfare_Friday.pdf
27	The_Basics_and_Beyond.pdf
26	Effective_Oral_Advocacy.pdf
26	Writing_to_Win_.pdf
24	Staying_in_Motion.pdf
23	How_to_Make_MSC_Application_More_Appealing.pdf
19	Courts_Survey_Summary.pdf

Top Session Polls

Value	Poll
294	Experience 1
234	Polling Question 5
219	Experience 3
214	Experience 2
212	e-filing 1
194	Polling Question 4
187	Polling Question 3
186	e-filing 2
185	e-filing 3
183	Polling Question 6
169	Polling Question 7
157	Polling Question 1
153	Polling Question 2
152	Polling Question 1
146	Do you REVIEW documents:
136	Do you have control over your or your firm's choice of:
135	Do you COMPOSE documents:
131	What size firm or organization are you affiliated with?
87	Polling Question 3A
86	Polling Question 2

Most Rated Sessions

Count	Session
48	Plenary - Shaping the Law: Tools of Advocacy and Decisi…
34	DeWitt C. Holbrook Memorial Fund Luncheon
25	Plenary – A Day in the Life of the Clerk's Office
17	Reception and Dinner
17	Shaping the Law Break out Sessions
13	Plenary – The Commissioner's Office: Behind the Scenes
10	Child Welfare Appeals 101: The Procedure.
9	Break Out 6
9	Plenary - Tech 2016: Gadgets, Widgets and Apps for App…
7	Interlocutory and Emergency Appeals
7	Break Out 7
6	Break Out 8
5	Break Out 3
5	Break Out 4
5	Break Out 5
5	Writing to Win – From Basics to Brilliance
5	Break Out 10
4	Plenary - The Michigan Supreme Court's view on Judging…
4	Break Out 9
4	Break Out 1

Speaker Engagement - The total number of actions related to speakers across all users for this event. Actions include viewing a speaker, sharing to social about the speaker, taking notes, bookmarking, and more.

Speaker Views - Total number of speaker views for this event.

Bookmarked Speakers - Total number of bookmarks across all speakers.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

Top Speakers by Engagement

Engagement	Speaker
129	Chief Justice Robert Young
58	General William Suter
33	Maura Corrigan
30	Chief Judge Michael Talbot
27	Mary Massaron
27	John Bursch
24	Julie Isola Ruecke
23	Hon. Jane Beckering
23	Hon. Douglas Shapiro
22	Stuart Friedman
22	Hon. Mark Boonstra
21	Bienenstock Nationwide Court Reporting & Video
21	Larry Royster
20	Jerome Zimmer
19	Justice Joan Larsen
19	Hon. Jane Markey
18	Hon. Colleen O'Brien
18	Hon. Elizabeth Gleicher
18	Inger Meyer
18	Hon. Peter O'Connell

5.42K	249	20.1K	288
○ User Contributions	○ Contact Shares	○ Minutes Open	○ Users

User Contributions - The total number of social shares, attendee messages, and more across this event.

Contact Shares - The total number of accepted contact shares.

Minutes Open - The total number of minutes all attendees have spent using the app.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

Top Social Platforms

76 — In App

3 — Email

Map Views - The total number of views for all maps.

Map Location Views - The total number of map location views. A map location is either an area on your floor plan or a geo location.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

Top Locations

Location	Count
Wisdom	46
Grande Ballroom	44
Esther	25
Nazareth	24
Judith	23
Samuels	22
Kings II	21
Sarah	20
Atrium	19
Kings I	18
Ruth	18
The Inn at St. John's	17
Executive Boardroom	13
Garden Gallery	11
Nazareth Balcony	9
Garden Gallery Lobby	1

206 — iOS Devices
72 — Android Devices
12 — Web Users
288 — Users

iOS Devices - The number of unique iOS devices, including iPhones, iPads, and iPod Touches.

Android Devices - The number of unique Android devices, including both phones and tablets.

Web Users - The number of unique browser views from browsers like Chrome, Firefox, IE, Safari, and mobile phone browsers like Windows Phone and Blackberry.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

Devices

206		iOS Devices
72		Android Devices
12		Web Users

Devices by Model

Model	Count
iPhone 6	74
iPhone8,1	31
iPhone 5S	23
iPad Air 2	17
iPhone 6 Plus	15
iPad 4	13
SM-G900V	13
Browser	12
iPad Air 1	11
iPad6,8	7
iPhone8,2	6
iPhone 4S	5
SM-N920V	5
XT1254	5
iPad Mini 2	4
SCH-I545	4
iPhone 5C	4
iPad 3	4
XT1030	3
iPhone 5	3

Banner Impressions - The total number of times a banner was viewed.

Banner Taps - The total number of times a banner was tapped.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

Top Banner Impressions

19.5K	Sponsors
19.1K	MABBCF Banner

Top Banner Taps

40	MABBCF Banner
31	Sponsors

Organization Engagement - The total number of interactions with organizations across all users for this event, including organization page views, organization bookmarks, social shares from the organization, and views of any photo or PDF attachments on the organization's page.

Organization Views - Total number of Organization page views.

Bookmarked - The total number of bookmarks across all organizations.

Users - The total number of unique app users across iOS, Android, and web.

Top Organizations by Engagement

Geographical Map of Phone Numbers of Attendees

Do you COMPOSE documents:

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
Primarily on a desktop device		92	76%
Primarily on a mobile device (laptop or tablet)		19	16%
About equally on desktop and mobile devices		7	6%
Other or neither		3	2%

Do you have control over your or your firm's choice of:

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
Hardware and file storage		35	28%
Hardware only		2	2%
File storage only		2	2%
Neither hardware or file storage		84	68%

Do you REVIEW documents:

Choices		Results	
Primarily on a desktop device		70	60%
Primarily on a mobile device (laptop or tablet)		13	11%
About equally on desktop and mobile devices		23	20%
Other or neither		10	9%

What size firm or organization are you affiliated with?

Choices		Results	
Solo practitioner		26	22%
Small (2-10 lawyers) or medium (11-20 lawyers)		32	27%
Large (21-99 lawyers) or very large (100 + lawyers)		54	45%
I am not in practice		8	7%

Are you a current or former employee of the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court?

View:

Choices		Results	
I have not worked for either Court		120	70%
I worked for the Court of Appeals only		26	15%
I worked for the Supreme Court only		10	6%
I worked for both the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court		16	9%

For those who have personally e-filed using TrueFiling, how easy was it to initiate a new case or file into an existing case?:

View:

Choices		Results	
Very difficult		1	1%
Somewhat difficult		12	10%
Neutral		34	28%
Somewhat easy		38	32%
Very easy		35	29%

For those who have personally e-filed using TrueFiling, the most difficult thing to do was:

Choices	Results	
Register as a user	19	18%
Identify the proper case or file type	7	7%
Add or verify a service recipient	66	62%
Attach connected documents	14	13%

On average, how many times per year are you actively involved in an appeal in the Court of Appeals?

Choices	Results	
1-5	55	33%
6-10	30	18%
11-20	28	17%
21 or more	52	32%

On average, how many times per year are you actively involved in an appeal in the Michigan Supreme Court?

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
1-3		99	64%
4-6		27	18%
7-10		11	7%
11 or more		17	11%

Which of the following best describes your use of the Courts' TrueFiling e-filing system?

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
I have not used the TrueFiling system yet because I don't have the necessary technology or training.		11	7%
I have not used the TrueFiling system yet because I prefer to file in hard copy.		3	2%
I have used TrueFiling to file into existing cases only.		13	9%
I have used TrueFiling to initiate new cases only.		8	5%
I have used TrueFiling to both initiate cases and file into existing cases.		117	77%

Although unpreserved issues need not be addressed, I will analyze an unpreserved issue raised by a party on appeal when appropriate.

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
Strongly Agree		1	7%
Agree		10	67%
Neutral		0	0%
Disagree		3	20%
Strongly Disagree		1	7%

Although unpublished and pre-1990 opinions are not precedential, I consider their analysis and outcome when ruling on appeals before the Court.

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
Strongly Agree		3	18%
Agree		11	65%
Neutral		0	0%
Disagree		3	18%
Strongly Disagree		0	0%

It is possible for a judge to separate his or her viewpoint (the cumulative whole of a person's life experiences, education, interests, psychology) from the decision-making process.

Choices		Results	
Strongly Agree		6	40%
Agree		2	13%
Neutral		2	13%
Disagree		5	33%
Strongly Disagree		0	0%

Which of the following tools for interpreting statutes and constitutional provisions do you believe is the least important?

Choices		Results	
Plain language		1	10%
Ordinary significance of the language		0	0%
Traditional tools such avoiding superfluous or unexplained language, interpreting the absence of an item as intended if a list contains simi		0	0%
Grammar and punctuation		0	0%
Legislative history		1	10%
Extrinsic evidence as to what legislators or framers meant to accomplish		5	50%
Unpublished appellate interpretation from same or higher court		2	20%
Published appellate interpretation from other jurisdiction		1	10%

Which of the following tools for interpreting statutes and constitutional provisions do you believe is the most important?

Choices	Results	
Plain language	12	80%
Ordinary significance of the language	1	7%
Traditional tools such avoiding superfluous or unexplained language, interpreting the absence of an item as intended if a list contains simi	2	13%
Grammar and punctuation	0	0%
Legislative history	0	0%
Extrinsic evidence as to what legislators or framers meant to accomplish	0	0%
Unpublished appellate interpretation from same or higher court	0	0%
Published appellate interpretation from other jurisdiction	0	0%

Oral argument is helpful to me, even if it does not ultimately change my decision regarding the outcome of the case.

Choices		Results	
Strongly Agree		4	29%
Agree		6	43%
Neutral		2	14%
Disagree		2	14%
Strongly Disagree		0	0%

Policy consideration should never factor in to statutory or constitutional interpretation.

Choices		Results	
Strongly Agree		6	38%
Agree		1	6%
Neutral		3	19%
Disagree		5	31%
Strongly Disagree		1	6%

The time allotted for oral argument has decreased in some
courts and other courts have restricted the number of
cases in which it is allowed. This Court allots 30 minutes per side for
arguments. Should this time be reduced?

Choices	Results	
Yes	3	21%
No	11	79%

The purpose of error correction is to ensure uniform
application of the law and it is therefore an important tool
in shaping the law.

Choices	Results	
Strongly Agree	5	36%
Agree	5	36%
Neutral	3	21%
Disagree	1	7%

When shaping the law, I consider the expectations of the parties and non-parties who may have relied on previously espoused (but nonbinding) precedent.

Choices		Results	
Strongly Agree		0	0%
Agree		5	42%
Neutral		2	17%
Disagree		1	8%
Strongly Disagree		4	33%

When the parties have missed a dispositive issue, I will re-frame the issue to reflect the dispositive aspect, then address the re-framed issue.

Choices		Results	
Strongly Agree		0	0%
Agree		3	23%
Neutral		4	31%
Disagree		3	23%
Strongly Disagree		3	23%

Before or after you filed or e-filed an application for leave to appeal at the COA, have you spoken on the phone to a district commissioner regarding the filing?

View:

Choices	Results	
Yes.	52	43%
No.	51	43%
I have never filed an application for leave at the COA.	17	14%

Have you noticed any differences in the way different commissioner offices at the COA process applications?

View:

Choices	Results	
Yes.	8	7%
No.	59	51%
I haven't filed often enough to notice if there is a difference.	49	42%

# Have you received timely decisions on emergency applications.

Choices		Results	
Yes.		69	58%
No.		4	3%
I have never filed an emergency application.		45	38%

# What is a reasonable time for having an application heard in the MCOA?

Choices		Results	
Less than 3 months.		28	25%
3 to 6 months.		72	64%
6 months or more.		13	12%

What is a reasonable time for having an application heard in the MSC?

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
3 to 6 months.		42	37%
6 to 9 months.		54	47%
10 to 12 months.		18	16%

What is a reasonable time to be allowed for filing an answer to an emergency application?

View: 🕐 ☰

Choices		Results	
Less than 7 days.		36	31%
7 days.		61	53%
14 days.		18	16%